Caio Isola Dallevo do Amaral Gomes

Filogenia de Syngnathidae (Teleostei: Syngnathiformes)

Phylogeny of Syngnathidae (Teleostei: Syngnathiformes)

São Paulo

2024

Caio Isola Dallevo do Amaral Gomes

Filogenia de Syngnathidae (Teleostei: Syngnathiformes)

Phylogeny of Syngnathidae (Teleostei: Syngnathiformes)

Tese apresentada ao Instituto de Biociências da Universidade de São Paulo, para a obtenção de Título de Doutor em Ciências, na Área de Zoologia.

Orientador: Prof. Dr. George Mendes Taliaferro Mattox

São Paulo

2

Ficha Catalográfica

Dallevo-Gomes, Caio Isola do Amaral

Filogenia de Syngnathidae (Teleostei: Syngnathiformes). 118pp.

Tese (Doutorado) - Instituto de Biociências da Universidade de São Paulo. Departamento de Zoologia.

Syngnathinae 2. Nerophinae
Sistemática 4. Anatomia comparada 5.
Osteologia I. Universidade de São Paulo.
Instituto de Biociências. Departamento de Zoologia.

Comissão Julgadora:

Prof(a). Dr(a). Orientador(a)

Prof(a). Dr(a).

Prof(a). Dr(a).

Prof(a). Dr(a).

Prof(a). Dr(a).

Summary

Introduction	
Objectives	Erro! Indicador não definido.
Materials and Methods	Erro! Indicador não definido.
Results	Erro! Indicador não definido.
Discussion	Erro! Indicador não definido.
Conclusions	
Abstract	
References	
Appendices	Erro! Indicador não definido.

Introduction

The Syngnathidae is a cosmopolitan family of fishes, comprising around one percent of all Teleost diversity, with more than 300 species, including the well-known genus of seahorses (*Hippocampus* Rafinesque 1810), to the less known, albeit more diverse group, pipefishes (Nelson *et al* 2016). Their origins can be traced to the Eocene, on the Tethys Sea (Bannikov 2014, Santaquiteria *et al* 2021), with fossil representatives ranging from the older specimens from Eocene formations on Monte Bolca, Italy, (Bannikov 2014) to the Pliocene formations in California, United States (Frietszche 1980). The most striking characteristic in Syngnathidae is the male parental care, making this the only family of vertebrates in which the male becomes pregnant. Such pregnancy is achieved by incubating the eggs in a ventral brood pouch (Figueiredo and Menezes 1980, Dawson and Vari 1982, Lourie and Randall 2003).

The study of Syngnathidae began with the work of Artedi (1738), with the description of four species of fishes with a tubular snout formed by the fusion of the jaws, hence the name *Syngnathus*, or fused jaws. All four species were from Europe: one seahorse (genus *Hippocampus*), and three pipefishes (genera *Syngnathus* Linnaeus 1758 and *Nerophis* Rafinesque 1810). Along with the fused snout, Artedi (1738) mentioned a geometric shape of the body of syngnathids and body covered by plates, as *Syngnathus* was included in the Malacopterygii.

Linnaeus (1758) included the Syngnathidae in his Systema Naturae, placing the family at the Pisces Abdominalis, characterized by a cylindrical snout, opercular opening restricted to a small aperture on the nuchal region, articulated body, absence of pelvic fins and geometric shape of the transversal plane of the body, heptagonal in the trunk region and quadrangular in the tail region. At the tenth edition of his "Systema Naturae", he described seven specimens of Syngnathidae belonging to the genus *Syngnathus* (Linnaeus 1758).Of these, six were pipefishes now belonging to the genera *Syngnathus* and *Nerophis* (Rafinesque 1810), and one seahorse described in the genus *Syngnathus* (*S. hippocampus* Linnaeus 1758).

An insight on the anatomy of Syngnathidae was given by Cuvier (1835), when he placed the genera *Syngnathus* and *Hippocampus* in the Lophobranchiate fishes, noting that the structure of the gills is organized

in lobes, not in filaments as in other teleosts. Cuvier (1837) also provided a brief mention of internal anatomy of Syngnathidae, describing an intestine smooth and indistinct from the stomach.

The first review of Syngnathidae was published by Kaup in 1853 and expanded in 1856, in the "*Ubersicht der Lophobranchiate*" or "Review of the Lophobranchiates" which covered three families of fishes: Solenostomidae, Pegasidae and Syngnathidae, the latter included the first use of subfamilies of Syngnathidae, those being the Hippocampinae, Syngnathinae, Doryrhamphinae and Nerophinae. Each subfamily was described, based on the presence of prehensile tail and coronet (i.e., a modification of the nuchal plates), and most importantly the position of the brood pouch and coverage of the eggs in the brood pouch.

Two works further expanded and commented the diversity of syngnathids in 1870 (Duméril and Gunther). Each with different propositions on the relations of syngnathids and their characteristics. Gunther (1870) proposed that Pegasidae should be placed in Acanthopteygii due to the similarities with agonids and absence of lobate gills, while Lophobranchii was comprised only by Solenostomidae and Syngnathidae.

His description of syngnathids was based in the reduced gill opening, one soft dorsal fin, no pelvic fins with the absence of other fins in the fishes of the family. In this work, syngnathids were divided in two groups based on the presence of a caudal fin, the Syngnathina, or the presence of a prehensile tail, the Hippocampina (Gunther 1870). This proposition is the most different for syngnathids because it does not emphasize the brood pouch location and coverage of the eggs as one of the most informative characters in the family (Kaup, 1853, 1856).

Duméril (1870) on the other hand, followed the approach of Kaup (1853, 1856) on his chapter about Lophobranchiate, and used two orders for lophobranchs: the Hypostomidés, with one family, Pegasidae, and the Prostomidés, with two, Solenostomidae and Syngnathidae. The description of Syngnathidae included the same characters of Gunther (1870) and expanded to include: the transversal shape of trunk and tail, size and rays counts of anal fin when present and presence of brood pouch as a protection of the eggs in males.

The division of syngnathids by Duméril (1870) followed the proposal of Kaup (1853, 1856) with four groups: Hippocampini, Syngnathini, Doryrhamphini and Nerophini. The first step in Duméril's key for subgroups focuses on the presence of pectoral fins and brood

pouch, distinguishing Nerophini from the other groups. While the position of the brood pouch is used to distinguish between Syngnathini and Doryrhamphini.

Contrary to other classifications, Gill (1896) proposed the suborder Syngnathi with two families, the Syngnathidae, divided in four subfamilies, two with abdominal brood pouch and two with caudal brood pouch, and the Hippocampidae, with two subfamilies distinguished by ridge configuration, and presence of a crowning in the back of the head, now known as the coronet. Furthermore, Gill (1896) briefly discussed the osteology of the family regarding the presence of bones of the opercular series (preoperculum and interoperculum)the pectoral girdle, and the absence of the symplectic, the presence of the latter being shown in later studies (Jungersen 1910).

While subfamilies of syngnathids started to have their main characters based on the position of the brood pouch in the previous works (Kaup 1853, 1856, Duméril 1870, Gunther 1870), the consolidation of this feature came in the studies of Duncker (1910 and 1915), with two main subgroups being named: the Gastrophori, genera with the brood pouch located in the trunk, and Urophori, genera with the brood pouch located in the tail.

A tentative proposal on the relationship of syngnathids was published by Herald (1959). In this seminal paper, he combined characters of the brood pouch, bony plates configuration and tail shape to understand the evolutionary history of the group. In this study, Gastrophori is a group composed of three subfamilies: Nerophinae, with the most primitive type of brood pouch with unprotected eggs loosely attached to the ventral region of the abdomen (type B1 of Wilson *et al.* 2001), the next step on brood pouches are found in Syngnathoidinae, with the eggs individually contained in separate membranous compartments, and Doryrhamphinae, with eggs placed into individual compartments either unprotected or protected by pouch plates (types B2 and B3 of Wilson *et al.* 2001, respectively).

The Urophori in Herald's study (1959), on other hand, are more thoroughly described based on brood pouch characters due to their diversity in brood pouch features. With two subfamilies: Solegnathinae, akin to Doryrhamphinae in having the eggs separated by a membranous matrix (type A2 of Wilson *et al.* 2001), and the Syngnathinae (type A4 and A5 of Wilson *et al.* 2001), with a diversity of brood pouch closures, from the semi closed brood pouch in *Corythoichthys* Kaup 1853 to the sealed brood pouch with the opening restricted to a pore present in *Hippocampus*.

Herald (1959) also raised the question of the hypothetical ancestral pipefish, unknown to this day, a fossil record that could help understand the brood pouch character, if the ancestral state is the brood pouch being located on the abdomen (Gastrophori *sensu* Duncker, 1912) or having the brood pouch in the tail region (Urophori *sensu* Duncker, 1912), as found in Solenostomidae, where the female, not the male as in Syngnathidae, carries the eggs with the help of the pelvic fins (Orr and Fritzsche 1993).

Being published before the translations of the methods of phylogenetic systematics by Hennig in 1966, Herald's (1959) study remains the only morphological study on the relationship of syngnathids. This was not an impediment to be used tentatively as a morphological data to validate molecular studies (Wilson *et al.*, 2001).

The most comprehensive studies on syngnathid taxonomy were made by Dawson (1982, 1985), which shaped the current understanding on the group. Curiously, despite having described and revised almost all the genera in the family, he did not work on the most popular genus of the family, *Hippocampus*, or used the phylogenetic method.

After the works of Dawson, few studies focused on the revision of genera of syngnathids or in large groups geographically correlated species. The only and most recent examples are a molecular and conservation revision on the genus *Hippocampus* (Lourie 2016) and morphological based revisions of the genera *Pseudophallus* (Dallevo-Gomes *et al.*, 2020) and *Urocampus* (Araki and Motomura 2023).

The study of syngnathid osteology had its first major work by Jungersen (1910) in which he described in detail the osteology of eight species of the family from four genera: *Hippocampus*, *Nerophis*, *Phyllopteryx* Swainson 1839 and *Syngnathus*. Following works were mainly divided into two topics: ontogeny of syngnathids, with the only species of the genus *Hippocampus* and *Syngnathus* analysed (Kadam 1958, Azzarello 1990, Silveira 2000a and 2000b, Novelli *et al.*, 2017), and the mechanism of prey capture, with more species being analysed, although only focusing in the head region (Branch 1966, Bergert and Wainwright 1997, Leysen *et al.*, 2010 and 2011, Van Wassenberg *et al.*, 2011 and 2013).

The majority of the osteological data is focused on ontogenetic studies of the two most diverse genera, *Hippocampus* and *Syngnathus* (Kadam 1958, Azzarello 1990, Silveira 2000a and 2000b, Novelli *et al.*

2017), Jungersen (1910) also provided an osteological description of *Nerophis* and *Phyllopteryx*. With these four genera having the most complete source of osteological information, this leaves the other genera of syngnathids in the need for further studies from a morphological perspective.

Additional osteological data can be parsed by consulting studies in functional morphology of syngnathids. Their unique mechanism of prey capture, which is the fastest in all teleosts (Leysen *et al.*, 2010 and 2011, Van Wassenberg *et al.*, 2011 and 2013), provided some data on the morphology of the head in *Corythoichthys*, *Doryrhamphus* Kaup 1856 and *Dunckerocampus* Whitley 1933.

The first attempt of understanding the relations of syngnathids through morphology, in particular, osteological characters, was that from Fritszche (1980). In this study, 33 species in 20 genera had their branchial skeleton analysed and discussed. The author did not provide explanations on why only the branchial skeleton was used. A second, albeit more limited, use of morphological characters to understand the phylogeny of the group was present in the first molecular study of syngnathids (Wilson *et al.*, 2001). In this study, the brood pouch characters from Herald (1959) were used to describe the family in conjunction with molecular data.

The brood pouch characters were the starting point in the understanding of syngnathids in many molecular studies. After the first study focused on fragments of mitochondrial rDNA genes 12S and 16S, and the complete sequence of cytochrome *b* gene, on a limited number of genera and species, 12 and 34 respectively (Wilson *et al.*, 2001), some relationships were proposed that followed previous studies. Most important is the separation between abdominal brood pouches (Gastrophori *sensu* Duncker, 1912) and caudal brood pouches (Urophori *sensu* Duncker, 1912), which is recovered in all subsequent molecular studies, with one notable exception: *Syngnathoides* is retrieved inside Urophori in the study of Wilson and Rouse (2010), this genus is subsequently retrieved inside the Urophori clade in later studies (Hamilton *et al.*, 2017, Longo *et al.*, 2017, Santaquiteria *et al.*, 2021 and Stiller *et al.*, 2022).

Subsequent studies were followed by Wilson and colleagues (Wilson *et al.*, 2003 and Wilson and Rouse, 2010), expanding on the genera and species studied, while using the same genes as the first study (Wilson *et al.*, 2001). Contrary to Herald's (1959) relationships, in which *Syngnathus* would be a genus distantly related to *Hippocampus*, the

molecular phylogenies recovered both genera as sister groups. In one study (Wilson *et.* al. 2003), *Hippocampus* plus *Hippichthys* Bleeker 1849 form a sister group to *Syngnathus*, and in a latter study (Wilson and Rouse, 2010), *Hippichthys* is the sister group to *Hippocampus* plus *Syngnathus*. In the Gastrophori group, the european pipefishes, with the genera *Nerophis* and *Entelurus* form a monophyletic group (Nerophinae *sensu* Herald 1959) and *Doryrhamphus* (Doryrhamphinae *sensu* Herald 1959) are recovered as monophyletic groups.

The expansion of the molecular phylogenies in Syngnathidae took two paths, the first one through expansion of the genes analyzed, as in Hamilton *et al.* (2017), in which 48 genera and 91 species had four mitochondrial genes (i.e. 12S, 16S, CO1 and ND1) and four nuclear genes (i.e. 18S, Myh6, Tmo4C4, HoxA13a) studied. On the other hand, Longo *et al.* (2017) expanded the molecular phylogeny of Syngnathidae through the analysis of ultraconserved elements, including 24 genera and 59 species. Their results were followed by other studies using ultraconserved elements (Santaquiteria *et al.*, 2021 and Stiller *et al.*, 2022), reaching similar phylogenetic hypotheses. These molecular phylogenies agree with Herald's (1959) hypothesis of separation between brood pouch location, with the notable exception of *Syngnathoides*, which is recovered inside Urophori, despite having an abdominal brood pouch, and even being considered a subfamily by Herald (1959).

With the only study on the relationship of syngnathids being from Herald (1959), and the last morphological characters being proposed more than forty years ago (Fritszche 1980), no comprehensive morphological phylogenetic studies of Syngnathidae or the proposition of new morphological characters have been made. The understanding of the Syngnathidae has severe gaps in the morphological knowledge, which is paramount to connect the systematics of the group to other areas (e.g. ecology, conservation and biogeography). This study is guided by the words of Gill (1896) "... a close and rigorous comparison of the skeletons of many genera will be requisite before such perfection [of characters] is attainable."

Conclusions

The phylogeny of Syngnathidae recovered some groups previously not seen and placement of genera among the family. The Nerophinae (sensu Stiller et al. 2022) were divided into two lineages among the Syngnathinae (sensu al. pipehorses Stiller et Pygmy 2022). (Acentronura, Amphelikturus, Cylix and Idiotropiscis) formed a seadragons monophyletic group seahorses (*Hippocampus*) and (Hallichthys, Phycodurus and Phyllopteryx).

Resumo

A família Syngnathidae, distribuída por todos os oceanos, é composta por mais de 300 espécies de peixes conhecidos como peixes cachimbo, compondo a grande maioria da diversidade da família, e cavalos marinhos, os mais notórios representantes do grupo compreendendo mais de 40 espécies carismáticas. Embora seja um grupo diverso e com uma morfologia bastante peculiar, estudos morfológicos deste grupo são raros e sua classificação é baseada em estudos pré cladísticos que utilizaram exclusivamente caracteres de morfologia externa. Nos últimos anos, estudos moleculares propuseram novas relações entre seus gêneros, porém sem explicitar sinapomorfias morfológicas que sustentassem essas propostas e com alguns táxons não representados, pois de maneira geral não há grande disponibilidade de amostras de tecido para todos os táxons pertinentes. Esta falta de estudos cladísticos com base em caracteres morfológicos também é verificada para famílias relacionadas aos Syngnathidae, com diferentes estudos filogenéticos de cunho molecular propondo diferentes grupos como relacionados aos Syngnathidae. Um estudo filogenético foi realizado com base em caracteres morfológicos, incluindo uma análise de caracteres externos de todos os gêneros de Syngnathidae e caracteres osteológicos de 26 gêneros, gerando 89 caracteres. Uma topologia de consenso foi gerada e analisada, levantando

novas informações sobre a história evolutiva do grupo.

Abstract

The Family Syngnathidae comprises more than 300 species distributed on all oceans, they are mostly known by the carismatic genus of seahorses, *Hippocampus*, and the most diverse group within the family, the pipefishes. Although is a very morphologically peculiar and diverse group, morphological studies of this group are rare and traditionally, their classification is based on pre cladistical studies focused on external morphology. On recent years, molecular studies proposed new relations among the genera of this family, all those new relations are poorly or not supported by morphological characters and lacking a important number of genera, given the lack of available specimens for molecular studies. The lack of cladistics studies based on morphological characters also occurs to related families of syngnathids, with different molecular studies proposing different relationships among syngnathids and other groups. A phylogenetic study was made based on external morphological characters of 26 genera, providing 89 caracters. A consensus topology was generated and analised proving new insights on the evolutionary history of the group.

References

Araki M, Motomura H. 2023. Review of the Indo-West Pacific pipefish genus *Urocampus* (Syngnathidae), with descriptions of two new species. *Ichthyological Research* 70: 1-20.

Artedi P. 1738. Genera piscium. In quibus systema totum ichthyologiæ proponitus cum classibus, ordinibus, generum characteribus, specierum differentiis, observationibus plurimis. Redactis speciebus 242 ad genera 52. Ichthyologiæ pars 3. *Conradus Wishoff, Lugduni Batavorum, Leiden*.

Azzarello MY. 1990. A comparative study of the developmental osteology of *Syngnathus scovelli* and *Hippocampus zoosterae* (Pisces, Syngnathidae) and its phylogenetic implications, *Evolutionary monographies* 12: 1-90.

Bamber RC. 1915. REPORTS on the MARINE BIOLOGY of the SUDANESE RED SEA, from Collections made by CYRIL CROSSLAND, M.A., D.Sc., F.L.S.—XXII. The FISHES. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 31: 477–485.

Berg LS. 1940. Classification of fishes, both recent and fossil, *Trudy Instituta Zoologii Akademiia Nauk Azerbaidzhanskkoi* 5: 87–517.

Bergert BA, Wainwright PC. 1997. Morpholocy and kinematics of prey capture in the syngnathid fishes *Hippocampus erectus* and *Syngnathus floridae*. *Marine Biology* 127: 563–570.

Betancur-R. R, Broughton RE, Wiley EO, Carpenter K, López JA, Li C, Holcroft NI, Arcila D, Sanciangco M, Cureton II JC, Zhang F, Buser T, Campbell MA, Ballesteros JA, Roa-Varon A, Willis S, Borden WC, Rowley T, Reneau PC, Hough DJ, Lu G, Grande T, Arratia G, Ortí G. 2013. The tree of life and a new classification of bony fishes, *PLoS Currents Tree of Life*.

Betancur-R R, Wiley EO, Arratia G, Acero A, Bailly N, Miya M, Lecointre G, Ortí G. 2017. Phylogenetic classification of bony fishes, *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 17: 1-40.

Bleeker P. 1849. Bijdrage tot de kennis der ichthyologische fauna van het eiland Madura, met beschrijving van eenige nieuwe soorten. Verhandelingen van het Bataviaasch Genootschap van Kunsten en Wetenschappen 22: 1-16. Bleeker P. 1851. Bijdrage tot de kennis der ichthyologische fauna van de Banda-eilanden. *Natuurkundig Tijdschrift voor Nederlandsch Indië* 2: 225-261.

Bleeker P 1860. Twaalfde bijdrage tot de kennis der vischfauna van Amboina. *Acta Societatis Regiae Scientiarum Indo-Neêrlandicae* 8: 1-4.

Branch GM. **1966**. Contributions to the functional morphology of fishes Part III. The feeding mechanism of *Syngnathus acus* Linnaeus, *Zoologica Africana* 2: 69-89.

Bruner E, Bartolino, V. 2008. Morphological variation in the Seahorse Vetebral System, *Journal of Morphology* 26: 247-262.

Chabanaud P. 1929. Remarques sur divers poissons de la famille des Syngnathidae et description de deux espèces nouvelles de l'Inde Archipélagique. *Bulletin de la Société Zoologique de France* 54: 165-173.

Cuvier G. 1816. La regne animal distribue d'après son organisation, pur servir de base à l'histoire naturelle des animaux et d'introduction à l'anatomie compare. Paris: Chez D'eterville.

Cuvier G. 1837. The animal kingdom, arranged according to its organization, serving as a foundation for the natural history of animals, and an introduction to comparative anatomy. *Vol. II. Reptiles-Fishes. London.*

Dallevo-Gomes CIA. 2016. Revisão taxonômica do gênero *Pseudophallus* Herald 1940 com estudo osteológico de *Pseudophallus brasiliensis* Dawson 1974 (Teleostei, Syngnathiformes, Syngnathidae). Unpublished masters dissertation, Universidade de São Paulo.

Dallevo-Gomes CIA, Mattox GMT, Toledo-Piza M. 2020. Taxonomic review of the pipefish genus *Pseudophallus* Herald, with the description of a new species (Syngnathiformes: Syngnathidae). *Zootaxa* 4859: 81-112.

Dawson CE. 1976. Review of the Indo-Pacific pipefish genus *Choeroichthys* (Pisces: Syngnathidae), with descriptions of two new species. *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington* 89: 39-65.

Dawson CE. **1977**. Review of the Indo-Pacific pipefish genus Lissocampus (Syngnathidae). *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington* v. 89: 599-620.

Dawson CE. 1977. Review of the pipefish genus *Corythoichthys* with description of three new species. *Copeia* 1977: 295-338.

Dawson CE. **1977**. Synopsis of syngnathine pipefishes usually referred to the genus *Ichthyocampus* Kaup, with description of new genera and species. *Bulletin of Marine Science* 27: 595-650.

Dawson CE. **1977**. Review of the Indo-Pacific genus *Bhanotia*, with description of *B. nuda n. sp. Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington* 91: 392-407.

Dawson CE. **1978**. Review of the Indo-Pacific pipefish genus *Hippichthys* (Syngnathidae). *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington* 91: 132-157.

Dawson CE. 1978. Description of a new western Australian pipefish (*Choeroichthys latispinosus*), with notes on *Syngnathus tuckeri* Scott and *Nannocampichthys* Hora and Mukerji. *Records of the Western Australian Museum* 6: 413-421.

Dawson CE. 1978. *Micrognathus vittatus* (Kaup), a junior synonym of *M. crinitus* (Jenyns), with description of the insular pipefish, *M. tectus*, new sp. *Copeia* 1978: 13-16.

Dawson CE. 1978. Syngnathus parvicarinatus, a new Australian pipefish, with notes on *S. sauvagei* (Whitley) and *Leptonotus caretta* (Klunzinger). Copeia 1978: 288-293.

Dawson CE. 1979. Review of the polytypic doryrhamphine pipefish *Oostethus brachyurus* (Bleeker). *Bulletin of Marine Science* 29: 465-480.

Dawson CE. **1979**. The Indo-Pacific pipefish genera *Notiocampus* gen. nov. and *Nannocampus* Günther. *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington* 92: 482-493.

Dawson CE. **1979**. Notes on western Atlantic pipefishes with description of *Syngnathus caribbaeus n. sp.* and *Cosmocampus n. gen*. *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington* 92: 671-676.

Dawson CE. 1980. *Kimblaeus*, a new pipefish genus (Syngnathiformes: Syngnathidae) from Australia, with a key to genera of pipefishes with continuous superior ridges. *Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* v. 31: 517-523.

Dawson CE. 1980. The Indo-Pacific pipefish genus Urocampus (Syngnathidae). Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 93: 830-844.

Dawson CE. 1980. Synopsis of the pipefishes (Syngnathidae) of New Zealand. *National Museum of New Zealand Records* 1: 281-291.

Dawson CE. 1981. Notes on four pipefishes (Syngnathidae) from the Persian Gulf. *Copeia* 1981: 87-95.

Dawson CE. 1981. Review of the Indo-Pacific pipefish genus *Doryrhamphus* Kaup (Pisces: Syngnathidae), with descriptions of a new species and a new subspecies. *Ichthyological Bulletin of the J. L. B. Smith Institute of Ichthyology* 44: 1-27.

Dawson CE. 1981. Review of the Indo-Pacific doryrhamphine pipefish genus *Doryichthys. Japanese Journal of Ichthyology* 28: 1-18.

Dawson CE. 1981. Notes on west African pipefishes (Syngnathidae), with description of *Enneacampus*, *n. gen. Proceedings* of the Biological Society of Washington 94: 464-478.

Dawson CE. 1982. Descriptions of *Cosmocampus retropinnis sp. n., Minyichthys sentus sp. n.* and *Amphelikturus sp.* (Pisces, Syngnathidae) from the eastern Atlantic region. *Zoologica Scripta* 11: 135-140.

Dawson CE. 1982. Review of the genus *Micrognathus* Duncker (Pisces: Syngnathidae), with description of *M. natans*, *n. sp. Proceedings* of the Biological Society of Washington 95: 657-687.

Dawson CE. 1982. Synopsis of the Indo-Pacific genus *Solegnathus* (Pisces: Syngnathidae). *Japanese Journal of Ichthyology* 29: 139-161.

Dawson CE. **1982**. Review of the Indo-Pacific pipefish genus *Stigmatopora* (Syngnathidae). *Records of the Australian Museum* 34: 575-605.

Dawson CE. 1982. The pipefish name *Syngnathus uncinatus* Weber, a junior synonym of *Bhanotia fasciolata* (Duméril). *Copeia* 1982: 210-212.

Dawson CE. **1983**. *Maroubra yasudai*, a new species of pipefish (Syngnathidae) from Honshu Island, Japan. *Publications of the Seto Marine Biological Laboratory* 28: 397-401.

Dawson CE. **1983**. Western Atlantic occurrence of the genus *Minyichthys* (Pisces: Syngnathidae), with description of *M. inusitatus n. sp. Copeia* 1983: 774-776.

Dawson CE. **1983**. Synopsis of the Indo-Pacific pipefish genus *Siokunichthys* (Syngnathidae), with description of *S. nigrolineatus n. sp. Pacific Science* 37: 49-63. **Dawson CE. 1984.** Revision of the genus *Microphis Kaup* (Pisces, Syngnathidae). *Bulletin of Marine Science* 35: 117-181.

Dawson CE. **1984**. *Bulbonaricus* Herald (Pisces: Syngnathidae), a senior synonym of *Enchelyocampus* Dawson and Allen, with description of *Bulbonaricus brucei n. sp.* from eastern Africa. *Copeia* 1984: 565-571.

Dawson CE. 1984. A new pipehorse (Syngnathidae) from Western Australia, with remarks on the subgenera of *Acentronura*. *Japanese Journal of Ichthyology* 31: 156-160.

Dawson CE. 1984. Review of the Indo-Pacific pipefish genus *Trachyrhamphus* (Syngnathidae). *Micronesica* 18: 163-191.

Dawson CE. 1984. Halicampus zavorensis and H. marquesensis, new species of pipefishes (Syngnathidae) from Mozambique and the Marquesas Islands. The J.L.B Smith Institute of Ichthyology Special Publication 34: 1-7.

Dawson CE. 1984. Synopsis of Australian pipefishes usually referred to the syngnathine (tail-pouch) genera *Syngnathus, Leptonotus* and *Histiogamphelus. Memoirs of the Museum of Victoria* 45: 71-123.

Dawson CE. 1985. *Indo-Pacific Pipefishes (Red Sea to the Americas)*. Gulf Coast Research Laboratory, Ocean Springs, Mississippi.

Dawson CE, Allen GR. 1981. *Micrognathus spinirostris*, a new Indo-Pacific pipefish (Syngnathidae). *Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia* 64: 65-68.

Dawson CE, Randall JE. 1975. Notes on Indo-Pacific pipefishes (Pisces: Syngnathidae) with description of two new species. *Proceedings* of the Biological Society of Washington 88: 263-280.

Dawson CE, Vari RP. 1982. Fishes of the Western North Atlantic part 8. Memoirs of the Sears Foundation for marine research. New Haven.

Duméril AHA. 1870. Histoire naturelle des poissons, ou, ichthyologie générale Tome Second Ganoïdes, Dipnés, Lophobranches 2: 1-624.

Duncker G. 1910. On some Syngnathids ("Pipe Fish") from Ceylon. *Spolia Zeylanica* 7: 25-34.

Duncker G. 1912. Die Gattungen der Syngnathidae. *Mitteilungen aus dem Naturhistorischen (Zoologischen) Museum in Hamburg* 29: 219-240.

Duncker, G. 1915. *Revision der Syngnathidae*. Mitteilungen aus dem Naturhistorischen Museum in Hamburg 2: 9-120.

Eschmeyer WN, Fricke R, Van Der Laan R. Catalog of fishes: genera, species, references. (http://researcharchive.calacademy.org/research/ichthyology/catalog/fish catmain.asp). Electronic version accessed XXX.

Felsenstein J. 2004. *Inferring phylogenies*. Sunderland, Massachussets: Sinauer.

Figueiredo JL, Menezes NA. 1980. *Manual de peixes marinhos do sudeste do Brasil 3: Teleostei 2*. São Paulo: Museu de Zoologia.

Fowler HW. 1906. Some cold-blooded vertebrates of the Florida Keys. *Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia* 58: 77-113.

Fowler HW. 1908. A collection of fishes from Victoria Australia. *Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia* 59: 419-444.

Fowler HW. 1925. Fishes of Guam, Hawaii, Samoa, and Tahiti. *Bulletin of the Bernice P. Bishop Museum* 22: 1-38.

Franz-Odendaal TA, Adriaens D. 2014. Comparative developmental osteology of the seahorse skeleton reveals heterocrony amongst *Hippocampus sp.* and progressive caudal fin loss, *EvoDevo* 45: 5-45.

Fritzsche RA. 1980. Revision of the Eastern Pacific Syngnathidae (Pisces: Syngnathiformes), including both recent and fossil forms, *Proceedings of the California Academy of Sciences* 42: 181-227.

Gill TH. 1872. Arrangement of the families of fishes, or classes Pisces, Marsipobranchii, and Leptocardii, *Smithsonian Miscellaneous Collections* 247: 1–49.

Gill TH. 1884. On the mutual relations of the hemibranchiate fishes, *Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia* 36: 154–166.

Gill TH. 1896. The differential characters of the syngnathid and hippocampid fishes, *Proceedings of the U.S. National Museum* 18: 153–159.

Goloboff PA, Farris JS, Nixon KC. 2008. TNT, a free program for phylogenetic analysis, *Cladistics* 24: 774–786.

Gray JE. 1859. Notice of a new genus of lophobranchiate fishes from Western Australia. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London* 1859: 38-39.

Greenwood PH, Rosen DE, Weitzman SH, Myers GS. 1966. Phyletic studies of teleostean fishes with a provisional classification of living forms, *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History* 131: 339–455.

Günther A. 1859. Catalogue of the fishes in the British Museum. Catalogue of the acanthopterygian fishes in the collection of the British Museum Gasterosteidae, Berycidae, Percidae, Aphredoderidae, Pristipomatidae, Mullidae, Sparidae. 1: 1-524.

Günther A. 1870. Catalogue of the fishes in the British Museum. Catalogue of the Physostomi, containing the families Gymnotidae, Symbranchidae, Muraenidae, Pegasidae, and of the Lophobranchii, Plectognathi, Dipnoi, Ganoidei, Chondropterygii, Cyclostomata, Leptocardii, in the British Museum. v. 8, London.

Hamilton H, Saarman N, Short G, Sellas AB, Moore B, Hoang T, Grace CL, M. Gomon M, K. Crow K, Simison WB. 2016. Molecular phylogeny and patterns of diversification in syngnathid fishes. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 107: 388-403.

Hennig W. 1966. *Phylogenetic systematics*. Urbana, Illinois: University of Illinois Press.

Herald ES. 1940. A key to the pipefishes of the Pacific American coasts with descriptions of new genera and species. *Report Allan Hancock Pacific Expedition 1932-1938* 9: 51-64.

Herald ES. 1942. Three new pipefishes from the Atlantic coast of North and South America, with a key to the Atlantic American species. *Stanford Ichthyological Bulletin* 2: 125-134.

Herald ES. 1950. *Ichthyocampus pawneei*, a new pipefish from the Bahamas. *Journal of the Washington Academy of Sciences* 40: 269.

Herald ES. 1959. From pipefish to seahorse – a study of phylogenetic relationships, *Proceedings of California Academy of Sciences Series 4* 32: 363-375.

Herald ES. 1969. A new pipefish from the Virgin Islands, Micrognathus dawsoni. Occasional Papers California Academy of Sciences 73: 1-3. Herald ES, Randall JE. 1972. Five new Indo-Pacific pipefishes. Proceedings of the California Academy of Sciences (Series 4) 39: 121-140.

Herre AWCT. 1931. A check list of fishes from the Solomon Islands. *Journal of the Pan-Pacific Research Institution* 6: 4-9.

Hora SL. 1926. Notes on fishes in the Indian Museum. IX-XIV. Records of the Indian Museum (Calcutta) 27: 453-469.

Hubbs CL. 1929. Oostethus: a new generic name for a doryrhamphine pipefish. Occasional Papers of the Museum of Zoology University of Michigan 199: 1-4.

Hubbs CL. 1935. *Anarchopterus*, a new genus of syngnathid fishes from the western Atlantic. *Occasional Papers of the Museum of Zoology University of Michigan* 320: 1-3.

Jenkins OP, Evermann BW. 1889. Description of eighteen new species of fishes from the Gulf of California. *Proceedings of the United States National Museum* 11: 137-158.

Johnson GD, Patterson C. 1993. Percomorph phylogeny: a survey of acanthomorphs and a new proposal, *Bulletin of Marine Science* 52: 554–626.

Jordan DS, Seale A. 1906. The fishes of Samoa Description of the species found in the archipelago, with a provisional check-list of the fishes of Oceania. *Bulletin of the Bureau of Fisheries* 25: 173-455.

Jungersen HFE. 1908. Ichthyotomical contributions. I. The structure of the genera Amphisile and Centriscus, *Det Kongelige Danske Videnskabernes Selskabs Skrifter, 7. Raekke, Naturvidenskabeligog Matematisk Afdeling VI* 2: 41–109.

Jungersen HFE. 1910. Ichthyotomical contributions. II. The structure of the Aulostomidae, Syngnathidae and Solenostomidae. Det Kongelige Danske Videnskabernes Selskabs Skrifter, 7. *Raekke, Naturvidenskabelig og Matematisk Afdeling VIII* 5: 269–363.

Kadam, KM. 1958. The Development of the Chondrocranium in the Sea-Horse *Hippocampus* (Lophobranchii). *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 43: 557–573.

Kaup JJ. 1853. Uebersicht der Lophobranchier. Archiv für Naturgeschichte 19: 226-234.

Kaup JJ. 1856. Catalogue of lophobranchiate fish in the collection of the British Museum. London: 1-76.

Kawahara R, Miya M, Mabuchi K, Lavoue S, Inoue JG, Satoh TP, Kawaguchi A, Nishida M. 2008. Interrelationships of the 11 gasterosteiform families (sticklebacks, pipefishes, and their relatives): a new perspective based on whole mitogenome, *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 46: 224-36.

Kuiter RH. 2009. *Seahorses and their relatives*. Seaford, Australia: Aquatic Photographics.

Leysen H, Jouk P, Brunain M, Christiaens J, Adriaens D. 2010. Cranial Architecture of Tube-Snouted Gasterosteiformes (Syngnathus rostellatus and Hippocampus capensis), Journal of Morphology 271: 255-270.

Leysen H, Christiaens M, De Kegel B, Boone MN, Van Hoorebeke L, Adriaens D. 2011. Muscoskeletal structure of the feeding system and implications of snout elongation in *Hippocampus reidi* and *Dunckerocampus dactyliophorus*, *Journal of Fish Biology* 78: 1799-1823.

Linnaeus C. 1758. Systema Naturae, Ed. X. Systema naturae per regna tria naturae, secundum classes, ordines, genera, species, cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis. Tomus I. Editio decima, reformata 1: 1-824.

Longo SJ, Faircloth BC, Meyer A, Westneat MW, Alfaro ME, Wainwright PC. 2017. Phylogenomic analysis of a rapid radiation of misfit fishes (Syngnathiformes) using ultraconserved elements, *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 113: 33–48.

Lourie SA, Randall JE. 2003. A new pygmy seahorse, *Hippocampus denise* (Teleostei: Syngnathidae), from de the Indo-Pacific, *Zoological Studies* 42: 284-291.

Lourie SA, Pollom RA, Foster SJ. 2016. A global revision of the seahorses *Hippocampus* Rafinesque 1810 (Actinopterygii: Syngnathiformes): taxonomy and biogeography with recommendations for further research. *Zootaxa* 4146: 1-66.

Macleay W. 1881. Descriptive catalogue of the fishes of Australia. Part IV. *Proceedings of the Linnean Society of New South Wales* v. 6: 202-387.

McCulloch AR. 1909. Studies in Australian fishes. No. 2. *Records of the Australian* Museum 7: 315-321.

McCulloch AR. **1911**. Report on the fishes obtained by the F. I. S. "Endeavour," on the coasts of New South Wales, Victoria, South Australia and Tasmania. Part I. *Biological Results Endeavour* 1: 1-87.

McCulloch AR. 1914. Notes on some Western Australian fishes. *Records of the Western Australian Museum and Art Gallery* 1: 211-227.

McCulloch AR, Waite ER. 1918. Some new and little-known fishes from South Australia. *Records of the South Australian Museum* (*Adelaide*) 1: 39-78.

Nelson GJ, Platnick N. 1981. Systematics and biogeography: cladistics and vicariance. New York: Columbia University Press.

Nelson JS, Grande T, Wilson MVH. 2016. Fishes of the World. New York: John Wiley & Sons Inc, Fifth edition.

Neutens C, Adriaens D, Christiaens J, De Kegel B, Dierick M, Boistel R, Van Hoorebeke L. 2014. Grasping convergent evolution in syngnathids: a unique tale of tails, *Journal of Anatomy* 224: 710-723.

Nixon KC, Carpenter JC. 1993. On outgroups, *Cladistics* 9: 413–426.

Nixon KC. 2002. Winclada, version 1.00.08. Ithaca.

Novelli B, Otero-Ferrer F, Socorro JA, Caballero MJ, Segade-Botella A, Molina Domínguez L. 2017. Development of short-snouted seahorse (*Hippocampus hippocampus*, L. 1758): osteological and morphological aspects. *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry* 43: 833–848.

Ogilby JD. **1890**. Descriptions of two new species of Australian Lophobranchiate fishes. *Records of the Australian Museum* 1: 55-56.

Parr AE. 1930. Teleostean shore and shallow-water fishes from the Bahamas and Turks Island. (Scientific results of the third oceanographic expedition of the "Pawnee" 1927.). *Bulletin of the Bingham Oceanographic Collection Yale University* 3: 1-148.

Paxton JR. **1975**. *Heraldia nocturna*, a new genus and species of pipefish (family Syngnathidae) from eastern Australia, with comments on Maroubra perserrata Whitley. Proceedings of the California Academy of Sciences 40: 439-447.

Peters W. 1852. Diagnosen von neuen Flussfischen aus Mossambique. Bericht über die zur Bekanntmachung geeigneten. *Verhandlungen der Königlichen Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin* 1852: 275-276, 681-685. **Peters W. 1855.** Uebersicht der in Mossambique beobachteten Fische. *Archiv für Naturgeschichte* 21: 234-282.

Peters W. 1868. Naturwissenschaftliche Reise nach Mossambique auf Befehl Seiner Majestät des Königs Friedrich Wilhelm IV in den Jahren 1842 bis 1848 ausgeführt. *Zoologie. IV. Flussfische*: 1-116.

Pietsch TW. 1978. Evolutionary relationships of the sea moths (Teleostei: Pegasidae) with a classification of gasterosteiform families, *Copeia* 66: 517–529.

Pietschmann V. 1928. Neue Fischarten aus dem Pazifischen Ozean. Anzeiger der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Wien, Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftliche Classe 65: 297-298.

De Pinna MCC. 1991. Concepts and tests of homology in the cladistic paradigm, *Cladistics* 7: 367–394

Rafinesque CS. 1810. Indice d'ittiologia siciliana; ossia, catalogo metodico dei nomi latini, italiani, e siciliani dei pesci, che si rinvengono in Sicilia disposti secondo un metodo naturale e seguito da un appendice che contiene la descrizione de alcuni nuovi pesci siciliani. *Giovanni. del Nobolo, Messina*.

Scott EOG. 1942. Syngnathus tuckeri sp. nov.: a new Tasmanian pipefish. Records of the Queen Victoria Museum 1: 17-20.

Scott EOG. 1955. Observations on some Tasmanian fishes: Part VII. *Papers and Proceedings of the Royal Society of Tasmania* 89: 131-146.

Silveira RB. 2000a. Desenvolvimento osteológico de *Hippocampus reidi* Ginsburg (Pisces, Syngnathiformes, Syngnathidae) em laboratório. I. Período embrionário. *Revista brasileira de Zoologia* 17: 505-513.

Silveira RB. 2000b. Desenvolvimento osteológico de *Hippocampus reidi* Ginsburg (Pisces, Syngnathiformes, Syngnathidae) em laboratório. II. Período juvenil. *Revista brasileira de Zoologia* 17: 515-531.

Song HY, Mabuchi K, Satoh TP, Moore JA, Yamanoue Y, Miya M, 2014. Mitogenomic circumscription of a novel percomorph fish clade mainly comprising "Syngnathoidei" (Teleostei), *Gene* 542: 146–55.

Springer VG, Orrell TM. 2004. Phylogenetic analysis of the families of acanthomorph fishes based on dorsal gill-arch muscles and skeleton, *Bulletin of the Biological Society of Washington* 11: 237–60.

Stiassny M, Parenti L, Johnson G. 1996. Interrelationships of fishes. San Diego: Academic Press.

Swainson W. 1839. On the natural history and classification of fishes, amphibians, & reptiles, or monocardian animals. *Spottiswoode & Co., London*.

Takata T, Sasaki K. 2001. A Japanese pipefish, *Halicampus punctatus* (Kamohara): redescription and biological notes (Syngnathidae, Gasterosteiformes). *Ichthyological Research* 48: 315-318.

Taylor WR, Van Dyke GC. 1985. Revised procedures for staining and clearing small fishes and other vertebrates for bone and cartilage study, *Cybium* 9: 107–119.

Van Wassenberg S, Roos G, Aerts P, Herrel A, Adriaens D. 2011. Why the long face? A Comparative study of the feeding kinematics of two pipefishes with different snout lengths, *Journal of Fish Biology* 78: 1786-1798.

Van Wassenberg S, Leysen H, Adriaens D, Aerts P. 2013. Mechanics of snout expansion in suction-feeding seahorses: musculoskeletal force transmission. *The Journal of Experimental Biology* 216: 407–417.

Waite ER, Hale HM. 1923. Review of the Lophobranchiate fishes (pipe-fishes and sea-horses) of South Australia. *Records of the South Australian Museum* 1: 293-324.

Whitley GP. 1927a. The fishes of Michaelmas Cay, North Queensland. *Records of the Australian Museum* 16: 1-32.

Whitley GP. 1927b. Studies in ichthyology. No. 1. *Records of the Australian Museum* 15: 289-304.

Whitley GP. 1929. Additions to the check-list of the fishes of New South Wales. *Australian Zoologist* 5: 353-357.

Whitley GP. 1931a. New names for Australian fishes. *Australian Zoologist* 6: 310-334.

Whitley GP. 1931b. Studies in ichthyology. No. 4. *Records of the Australian Museum* v. 18: 96-133.

Whitley GP. 1931c. Studies in ichthyology. No. 5. *Records of the Australian Museum* 18: 138-160.

Whitley GP. 1933. Studies in ichthyology. No.7. *Records of the Australian Museum* 19: 60-112.

Whitley GP. 1947. New sharks and fishes from Western Australia. Part 3. *Australian Zoologist* 11: 129-150.

Whitley GP. 1948. A list of the fishes of Western Australia. *Western Australian Fisheries Bulletin* 2: 1-35.

Whitley GP. 1964. Fishes from the Coral Sea and the Swain Reefs. *Records of the Australian Museum* 26: 145-195.

Wiley EO, Johnson GD. 2010. A teleost classification based on monophyletic groups. In: Nelson JS, Schultze HP, Wilson MVH, eds. *Origin and Phylogenetic Interrelationships of Teleosts*. München, Germany: Verlag Dr. Friedrich Pfeil, 123-182.

Wilson AB, Vincent A, Ahnesjö I, Meyer A. 2001. Male Pregnancy in Seahorses and Pipefishes (Family: Syngnathidae): Rapid Diversification of Paternal Brood Pouch Morpholocy Infered From a Molecular Phylogeny. *Journal of Heredity* 92: 159-166.

Wilson AB, Orr JW. 2011. The evolutionary origins of Syngnathidae: pipefishes and seahorses, *Journal of Fish Biology* v.78: 1603-1623.

Wiley EO. 1981. *Phylogenetics: the theory and practice of phylogenetic systematics*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.

Wiley EO, Siegel-Causey D, Brooks DR, Funk VA. 1991. The Compleat Cladist: a primer of phylogenetics procedures Special Publication 19. Lawrence: The University of Kansas, Museum of Natural History.

Williams DM, Ebach MC. 2010. Foundations of systematics and biogeography. New York: Springer.

Wilson AB, Vincent A, Ahnesio I, Meyer A. 2001. Male pregnancy in seahorses and pipefishes (family Syngnathidae): rapid diversification of paternal brood pouch morphology inferred from a molecular phylogeny, *Journal of Heredity* 92: 159–166.